

Special Polynomials and Exact Solutions of the Dispersive Water Wave and Modified Boussinesq Equations

Peter A. Clarkson[†] and Bryn W.M. Thomas[‡],
 Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science,
 University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NF, UK
[†]P.A.Clarkson@kent.ac.uk, [‡]bwmt3@kent.ac.uk

12 March 2009

Abstract

Exact solutions of the dispersive water wave and modified Boussinesq equations are expressed in terms of special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the fourth Painlevé equation, which arises as generalized scaling reductions of these equations. Generalized solutions that involve an infinite sequence of arbitrary constants are also derived which are analogues of generalized rational solutions for the Korteweg-de Vries, Boussinesq and nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with special polynomials associated with exact solutions of the dispersive water wave (DWW) equation

$$U_{tt} + 2U_t U_{xx} + 4U_x U_{xt} + 6U_x^2 U_{xx} - U_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

which is a soliton equation solvable by inverse scattering [38, 39], sometimes known as “Kaup’s higher-order wave equation” (cf. [50]), and the modified Boussinesq equation

$$\frac{1}{3}U_{tt} - 2U_t U_{xx} - 6U_x^2 U_{xx} + U_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

which also is a soliton equation solvable by inverse scattering [51]. These equations may be written in the non-local form (by setting $U_x = u$)

$$u_{tt} - 2u_{xx} \partial_x^{-1}(u_t) + 4uu_{xt} + 6u_x u_t + 2(u^3)_{xx} - u_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{3}u_{tt} - 2u_t u_x + 2u_{xx} \partial_x^{-1}(u_t) - 2(u^3)_{xx} + u_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $(\partial_x^{-1} f)(x) = \int_x^\infty f(y) dy$, respectively, which is the form in which they arise in physical applications.

The DWW equation (1.1) can be derived from the classical Boussinesq system

$$\eta_t + v_x + \eta\eta_x = 0, \quad v_t + (\eta v)_x + \eta_{xxx} = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

which arise in the description of surface waves propagating in shallow water [14, 38, 39, 56]. Indeed Broer [14] called the system “the oldest, simplest and most widely known set of equations ... which are the Boussinesq equations proper”. Hirota and Satsuma [32] showed that there is a “Miura type” transformation relating solutions of the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) to solutions of the Boussinesq equation

$$u_{tt} + u_{xx} + (u^2)_{xx} + u_{xxxx} = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

There has been considerable interest in partial differential equations solvable by inverse scattering, the *soliton equations*, since the discovery in 1967 by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura [29] of the method for solving the initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Clarkson and Ludlow [24] show that the generalized Boussinesq equation

$$U_{tt} + pU_t U_{xx} + qU_x U_{xt} + rU_x^2 U_{xx} + U_{xxx} = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

with p , q and r constants, satisfies the necessary conditions of the Painlevé conjecture due to Ablowitz, Ramani and Segur [2, 3] to be solvable by inverse scattering in two cases: (i), if $q = 2p$ and $r = \frac{3}{2}p^2$, when (1.8) is equivalent to the DWW equation (1.1), and (ii), if $q = 0$ and $r = -\frac{1}{2}p^2$, when (1.8) is equivalent to the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2).

During the past thirty years or so there has been much interest in rational solutions of the soliton equations. Further applications of rational solutions to soliton equations include the description of explode-decay waves [43] and vortex solutions of the complex sine-Gordon equation [10, 47]. The idea of studying the motion of poles of solutions of the KdV equation (1.7) is attributed to Kruskal [40]. Airault, McKean and Moser [8] studied the motion of the poles of rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.7) and the Boussinesq equation (1.6). Further they related the motion to an integrable many-body problem, the Calogero-Moser system with constraints; see also [7, 16]. Ablowitz and Satsuma [4] derived some rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.7) and the Boussinesq equation (1.6) by finding a long-wave limit of the known N -soliton solutions of these equations. Studies of rational solutions of other soliton equations include for the Boussinesq equation [22, 28, 49] and for the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation [21, 33, 43]

$$iu_t + u_{xx} \pm 2|u|^2 u = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Ablowitz and Segur [5] demonstrated a close relationship between completely integrable partial differential equations solvable by inverse scattering and the Painlevé equations. For example the second Painlevé equation (P_{II}),

$$w'' = 2w^3 + zw + \alpha, \quad (1.10)$$

where $' \equiv d/dz$ and α is an arbitrary constant, arises as a scaling reduction of the KdV equation (1.7), see [5], and the fourth Painlevé equation (P_{IV}),

$$w'' = \frac{(w')^2}{2w} + \frac{3}{2}w^3 + 4zw^2 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)w + \frac{\beta}{w}, \quad (1.11)$$

where α and β are arbitrary constants, arises as scaling reductions of the Boussinesq equation (1.6) and the NLS equation (1.9). Consequently special solutions of these equations can be expressed in terms of solutions of P_{II} and P_{IV} .

The six Painlevé equations (P_I – P_{VI}) are nonlinear ordinary differential equations, the solutions of which are called the *Painlevé transcendents*, were discovered about a hundred years ago by Painlevé, Gambier and their colleagues whilst studying which second-order ordinary differential equations have the property that the solutions have no movable branch points, i.e. the locations of multi-valued singularities of any of the solutions are independent of the particular solution chosen and so are dependent only on the equation; this is now known as the *Painlevé property*. Painlevé, Gambier *et al.* showed that there were fifty canonical equations with this property, forty four are either integrable in terms of previously known functions, such as elliptic functions or are equivalent to linear equations, or are reducible to one of six new nonlinear ordinary differential equations, which define new transcendental functions (cf. [34]). The Painlevé equations can be thought of as nonlinear analogues of the classical special functions (cf. [20, 26, 35, 53]). Indeed Iwasaki, Kimura, Shimomura and Yoshida [35] characterize the Painlevé equations as “the most important nonlinear ordinary differential equations” and state that “many specialists believe that during the twenty-first century the Painlevé functions will become new members of the community of special functions”. Further Umemura [53] states that “Kazuo Okamoto and his circle predict that in the 21st century a new chapter on Painlevé equations will be added to Whittaker and Watson’s book”.

Vorob’ev [55] and Yablonskii [57] expressed the rational solutions of P_{II} (1.10) in terms of certain special polynomials, which are now known as the *Yablonskii–Vorob’ev polynomials*. Okamoto [46] derived analogous special polynomials, which are now known as the *Okamoto polynomials*, related to some of the rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11). Subsequently Okamoto’s results were generalized by Noumi and Yamada [45] who showed that all rational solutions of P_{IV} can be expressed in terms of logarithmic derivatives of two sets of special polynomials, called the *generalized Hermite polynomials* and the *generalized Okamoto polynomials* (see §2 below). Clarkson and Mansfield [25] investigated the locations of the roots of the Yablonskii–Vorob’ev polynomials in the complex plane and showed that these roots have a very regular, approximately triangular structure. The structure of the (complex) roots of the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials is described in [18], which respectively have an approximate rectangular structure and a combination of approximate rectangular and triangular structures. The term “approximate” is used since the patterns are not exact triangles and rectangles as the roots lie on arcs rather than straight lines.

In this paper our interest is in exact solutions and associated polynomials of the special case of the DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2), both of which have generalized scaling reductions to P_{IV} (1.11). Consequently solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) can be obtained in terms the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials. Further some of these solutions whose derivatives decay as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, are generalized to give more general solutions of the DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2). These solutions are analogues of the rational solutions of the KdV equation [4, 7, 8, 16], the Boussinesq equation [22, 28, 49] and the NLS equation [21, 33]; see also [19]. This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we review the special polynomials associated with rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11). In §§3 and 4 we use the special polynomials discussed in §2 to derive special polynomials and associated solutions of the DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2), respectively. We also derive generalized solutions which involve an infinite number of arbitrary constants. All exact solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2), which are rational solutions of equations (1.3) and (1.4), which are described here are expressed as Wronskians of polynomials. Finally in §5 we discuss our results.

2 Rational solutions of P_{IV}

Simple rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11) are

$$w_1(z; \pm 2, -2) = \pm 1/z, \quad w_2(z; 0, -2) = -2z, \quad w_3(z; 0, -\frac{2}{3}) = -\frac{2}{3}z. \quad (2.1)$$

It is known that there are three sets of rational solutions of P_{IV} , which have the solutions (2.1) as the simplest members. These sets are known as the “ $-1/z$ hierarchy”, the “ $-2z$ hierarchy” and the “ $-\frac{2}{3}z$ hierarchy”, respectively (cf. [9]). The “ $-1/z$ hierarchy” and the “ $-2z$ hierarchy” form the set of rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11) with parameters given by (2.2a) and the “ $-\frac{2}{3}z$ hierarchy” forms the set with parameters given by (2.2b). The rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11) with parameters given by (2.2a) lie at the vertexes of the “Weyl chambers” and those with parameters given by (2.2b) lie at the centres of the “Weyl chamber” [54].

Theorem 2.1. P_{IV} (1.11) has rational solutions if and only if the parameters α and β are given by either

$$\alpha = m, \quad \beta = -2(2n - m + 1)^2, \quad (2.2a)$$

or

$$\alpha = m, \quad \beta = -2(2n - m + \frac{1}{3})^2, \quad (2.2b)$$

with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each given m and n there exists only one rational solution of P_{IV} with parameters given by (2.2).

Proof. See Lukashevich [41], Gromak [30] and Murata [42]; also Bassom, Clarkson and Hicks [9], Gromak, Laine and Shimomura [31, §26], Umemura and Watanabe [54]. $\square$

In a comprehensive study of properties of solutions of P_{IV} (1.11), Okamoto [46] introduced two sets of polynomials associated with rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11), analogous to the Yablonskii–Vorob’ev polynomials associated with rational solutions of P_{II} . Noumi and Yamada [45] generalized Okamoto’s results and introduced the *generalized Hermite polynomials*, which are defined in Definition 2.2, and the *generalized Okamoto polynomials*, which are defined in Definition 2.4; see also [18, 19]. Kajiwara and Ohta [36] expressed the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials in terms of Schur functions in the form of determinants, which is how they are defined below.

Definition 2.2. The *generalized Hermite polynomial* $H_{m,n}(z)$ is defined by

$$H_{m,n}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_m(z), H_{m+1}(z), \dots, H_{m+n-1}(z)), \quad (2.3)$$

for $m, n \geq 1$, with $H_{m,0}(z) = H_{0,n}(z) = 1$ and $H_k(z)$ the k th Hermite polynomial.

The polynomials, $H_{m,n}(z)$, defined by (2.3) are called the generalized Hermite polynomials since $H_{m,1}(z) = H_m(z)$ and $H_{1,m}(z) = i^{-m} H_m(iz)$, where $H_m(z)$ is the standard Hermite polynomial defined by

$$H_m(z) = (-1)^m \exp(z^2) \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \{ \exp(-z^2) \}$$

or alternatively through the generating function

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_m(z) \xi^m}{m!} = \exp(2\xi z - \xi^2). \quad (2.4)$$

Examples of generalized Hermite polynomials and plots of the locations of their roots in the complex plane are given by Clarkson [18]; see also [19, 21, 22]. The roots take the form of $m \times n$ “rectangles”, which are only approximate rectangles since the roots lie on arcs rather than straight lines. The generalized Hermite polynomial $H_{m,n}(z)$ can be expressed as the multiple integral

$$H_{m,n}(z) = \frac{\pi^{m/2} \prod_{k=1}^m k!}{2^{m(m+2n-1)/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i+1}^n (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{k=1}^n (z - x_k)^m \exp(-x_k^2) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n,$$

which arises in random matrix theory [13, 27, 37]. The generalized Hermite polynomials also arise in the theory of orthogonal polynomials [15].

Theorem 2.3. Suppose $H_{m,n}(z)$ is the generalized Hermite polynomial, then

$$w_{m,n}^{[1]}(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left\{ \frac{H_{m+1,n}(z)}{H_{m,n}(z)} \right\}, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$w_{m,n}^{[2]}(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left\{ \frac{H_{m,n}(z)}{H_{m,n+1}(z)} \right\}, \quad (2.5b)$$

$$w_{m,n}^{[3]}(z) = -2z + \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left\{ \frac{H_{m,n+1}(z)}{H_{m+1,n}(z)} \right\}, \quad (2.5c)$$

where $w_{m,n}^{[j]} = w(z; \alpha_{m,n}^{[j]}, \beta_{m,n}^{[j]})$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are solutions of P_{IV} , respectively for

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{m,n}^{[1]} &= 2m + n + 1, & \beta_{m,n}^{[1]} &= -2n^2, \\ \alpha_{m,n}^{[2]} &= -(m + 2n + 1), & \beta_{m,n}^{[2]} &= -2m^2, \\ \alpha_{m,n}^{[3]} &= n - m, & \beta_{m,n}^{[3]} &= -2(m + n + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Theorem 4.4 in Noumi and Yamada [45]; also Theorem 3.1 in [18]. $\square$

The rational solutions of P_{IV} defined by (2.5) include all solutions in the “ $-1/z$ ” and “ $-2z$ ” hierarchies, i.e. the set of rational solutions of P_{IV} with parameters given by (2.2a). In fact these rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11) are special cases of the special function solutions which are expressible in terms of parabolic cylinder functions $D_\nu(\xi)$.

Definition 2.4. The generalized Okamoto polynomial $\Omega_{m,n}(z)$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{m,n}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_1(z), H_4(z), \dots, H_{3m-2}(z), H_2(z), H_5(z), \dots, H_{3n-1}(z)), \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\Omega_{m,0}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_1(z), H_4(z), \dots, H_{3m-2}(z)), \quad (2.6b)$$

$$\Omega_{0,n}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_2(z), H_5(z), \dots, H_{3n-1}(z)), \quad (2.6c)$$

for $m, n \geq 1$, with $\Omega_{0,0}(z) = 1$ and $H_k(z)$ the k th Hermite polynomial.

The generalized Okamoto polynomial $\Omega_{m,n}(z)$ defined here have been reindexed in comparison to the generalized Okamoto polynomial $Q_{m,n}(z)$ defined in [18, 19] by setting $Q_{m,n}(z) = \Omega_{m+n-1,n-1}(z)$ and $Q_{-m,-n}(z) = \Omega_{n-1,m+n}(z)$, for $m, n \geq 1$. The polynomials introduced by Okamoto [46] are given by $Q_m(z) = \Omega_{m-1,0}(z)$ and $R_m(z) = \Omega_{m,1}(z)$. Further the generalized Okamoto polynomial introduced by Noumi and Yamada [45] is given by $\tilde{Q}_{m,n}(z) = \Omega_{m-1,n-1}(z)$.

Examples of generalized Okamoto polynomials and plots of the locations of their roots in the complex plane are given by Clarkson [18, 19]. The roots of the polynomial $Q_{m,n}(z) = \Omega_{m+n-1,n-1}(z)$ with $m, n \geq 1$ take the form of an $m \times n$ “rectangle” with an “equilateral triangle”, which have either $\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ roots, on each of its sides. The roots of the polynomial $Q_{-m,-n}(z) = \Omega_{n-1,m+n}(z)$ with $m, n \geq 1$ take the form of an $m \times n$ “rectangle” with an “equilateral triangle”, which now have either $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ roots, on each of its sides. Again these are only approximate rectangles and equilateral triangles since the roots lie on arcs rather than straight lines.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose $Q_{m,n}(z)$ is the generalized Okamoto polynomial, then

$$\tilde{w}_{m,n}^{[1]}(z) = -\frac{2}{3}z + \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left\{ \frac{Q_{m+1,n}(z)}{Q_{m,n}(z)} \right\}, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\tilde{w}_{m,n}^{[2]}(z) = -\frac{2}{3}z + \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left\{ \frac{Q_{m,n}(z)}{Q_{m,n+1}(z)} \right\}, \quad (2.7b)$$

$$\tilde{w}_{m,n}^{[3]}(z) = -\frac{2}{3}z + \frac{d}{dz} \ln \left\{ \frac{Q_{m,n+1}(z)}{Q_{m+1,n}(z)} \right\}, \quad (2.7c)$$

where $\tilde{w}_{m,n}^{[j]} = w(z; \tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}^{[j]}, \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}^{[j]})$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are solutions of P_{IV} , respectively for

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}^{[1]} &= 2m + n, & \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}^{[1]} &= -2(n - \frac{1}{3})^2, \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}^{[2]} &= -(m + 2n), & \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}^{[2]} &= -2(m - \frac{1}{3})^2, \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{m,n}^{[3]} &= n - m, & \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}^{[3]} &= -2(m + n + \frac{1}{3})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Theorem 4.3 in Noumi and Yamada [45]; also Theorem 4.1 in [18]. $\square$

3 Exact solutions of the dispersive water wave equation

3.1 Exact solutions from the scaling reduction

The DWW equation (1.1) has the generalized scaling reduction [24]

$$U(x, t) = V(z) - \kappa x - \kappa^2 t - \mu \ln t, \quad z = (x + 2\kappa t)/\sqrt{4t}, \quad (3.1)$$

with κ and μ arbitrary constants, which is a classical symmetry reduction [24], and where $v(z) = V'(z)$ satisfies

$$v''' = 6v^2v' - 12zv' + (4z^2 - 8\mu)v' - 8v^2 + 12zv + 16\mu. \quad (3.2)$$

Then letting $v(z) = w(z) + 2z$ in (3.2) and integrating yields P_{IV} (1.11) and so we can obtain exact solutions of the DWW equation (1.1) from the rational solutions of P_{IV} given in §2. However, it is possible to generate these solutions directly, i.e. without having to consider the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials, by extending the representations of these polynomials in terms of the determinants given in Definitions 2.2 and 2.4.

Theorem 3.1. Consider the polynomials $\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa)$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa) \lambda^n}{n!} = \exp \{ (x + 2\kappa t) \lambda - t \lambda^2 \}, \quad (3.3)$$

so $\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa) = t^{n/2} H_n((x + 2\kappa t)/\sqrt{4t})$, with $H_n(z)$ the Hermite polynomial, and then let

$$\Phi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa) = \mathcal{W}_x(\varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_{m+n-1}). \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_x(\varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_{m+n-1})$ is the Wronskian with respect to x . Then the DWW equation (1.1) has exact solutions in the form

$$U_{m,n}^{[1]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Phi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{4t} - (m + n + \frac{1}{2}) \ln t, \quad (3.5a)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[2]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Phi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{4t} + (m + n + \frac{1}{2}) \ln t, \quad (3.5b)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[3]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Phi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} - \kappa(x + \kappa t), \quad (3.5c)$$

Proof. The polynomials $\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa)$ defined by (3.3) are obtained by $z = (x + \kappa t)/\sqrt{4t}$ and $\xi = \lambda\sqrt{t}$ in (2.4). Then (3.4) follows from the definition of $H_{m,n}(z)$ given by (2.3). Finally substitution of these expressions into (3.1) yields the desired result. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Consider the polynomials $\psi_n(x, t; \kappa)$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n(x, t; \kappa) \lambda^n}{n!} = \exp \{ (x + 2\kappa t) \lambda + 3t \lambda^2 \}, \quad (3.6)$$

so $\psi_n(x, t; \kappa) = (3t)^{n/2} e^{-n\pi i/2} H_n(i(x + 2\kappa t)/\sqrt{3t})$, with $H_n(z)$ the Hermite polynomial, and then let

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa) &= \mathcal{W}_x(\psi_1, \psi_4, \dots, \psi_{3m+3n-5}, \psi_2, \psi_5, \dots, \psi_{3n-4}), \\ \Psi_{-m,-n}(x, t) &= \mathcal{W}_x(\psi_1, \psi_4, \dots, \psi_{3n-2}, \psi_2, \psi_5, \dots, \psi_{3m+3n-1}),\end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for $m, n \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{W}_x(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m)$ is the Wronskian with respect to x . Then the DWW equation (1.1) has exact solutions in the form

$$U_{m,n}^{[1]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Psi_{m+1,n}(x, t)}{\Psi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{6t} - \frac{1}{3}\kappa(x + \kappa t) - (2m + n) \ln t, \quad (3.8a)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[2]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Psi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Psi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{6t} - \frac{1}{3}\kappa(x + \kappa t) + (m + 2n) \ln t, \quad (3.8b)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[3]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Psi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Psi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{6t} - \frac{1}{3}\kappa(x + \kappa t) - (m - n) \ln t. \quad (3.8c)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that for Theorem 3.1 above and so is left to the reader. $\square$

3.2 Generalized solutions

Here we discuss possible generalizations of the rational solutions obtained above. Motivated by the structure of the generalized exact solutions of the KdV equation [7, 8], the Boussinesq equation [22, 28], and the NLS equation [21], the idea is to replace the exponent of the exponentials (3.3) and (3.6) by the infinite series

$$(x + 2\kappa t)\lambda + bt\lambda^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \xi_j \lambda^j, \quad (3.9)$$

with $b = -1$ and $b = 3$, respectively, where ξ_j , for $j \geq 3$, are arbitrary parameters. These give generalizations of the polynomials (3.4) and (3.7) which in turn give generalizations of (3.5) and (3.8). However, when we substitute the generalizations of (3.5) and (3.8) into the DWW equation (1.1), it can be shown that necessarily $\kappa = 0$ and $\xi_j = 0$ for $j \geq 3$ except for the generalization of (3.5c), and is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Consider the polynomials $\theta_n(x, t; \xi)$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\vartheta_n(x, t; \xi) \lambda^n}{n!} = \exp \left(x\lambda - t\lambda^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \xi_j \lambda^j \right), \quad (3.10)$$

where $\xi = (\xi_3, \xi_4, \dots)$, with ξ_j arbitrary constants and then let

$$\Theta_{m,n}(x, t; \xi) = \mathcal{W}_x(\vartheta_m, \vartheta_{m+1}, \dots, \vartheta_{m+n-1}). \quad (3.11)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_x(\theta_m, \theta_{m+1}, \dots, \theta_{m+n-1})$ is the Wronskian with respect to x . Then the DWW equation (1.1) has exact solutions in the form

$$U_{m,n}^{[3]}(x, t; \xi) = \ln \{ \Theta_{m,n+1}(x, t; \xi) / \Theta_{m+1,n}(x, t; \xi) \}, \quad (3.12)$$

The polynomials (3.11) and associated solutions (3.12) are analogous to the polynomials and associated rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.7) derived by Airault, McKean and Moser [8] and Adler and Moser [7]; see also [4, 16]. Further we conclude that generalized solutions, i.e. solutions which depend on an infinite number of arbitrary parameters, of the DWW equation (1.1) exist only if the derivative of the solution, which is a decaying rational solution of (1.3), obtained through the scaling reduction to a Painlevé equation decays as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. This is analogous to the situation for generalized rational solutions of the KdV equation [7, 8, 16], the Boussinesq equation [22, 28, 49], and the NLS equation [33]; see also [19].

4 Exact solutions of the modified Boussinesq equation

4.1 Exact solutions from the scaling reduction

The modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) has the generalized scaling reduction [17]

$$U(x, t) = V(z) + \kappa x t - \mu \ln t, \quad z = (x + 3\kappa t^2) / \sqrt{4t}, \quad (4.1)$$

with κ and μ arbitrary constants and where $v(z) = V'(z)$ satisfies

$$v''' = 6v^2 v' + 4z v v' - \left(\frac{4}{3} z^2 + 8\mu \right) v' - 4z v + \frac{16}{3} \mu. \quad (4.2)$$

In the case when $\kappa \neq 0$, the generalized scaling reduction (4.1) is a nonclassical symmetry reduction that is derived either using the nonclassical method [11] or the direct method [23] — see [17] for details. Letting $V(z) = w(z) + \frac{2}{3}z$ in (4.2) and integrating yields P_{IV} (1.11) and so we can obtain exact solutions of the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) from the rational solutions of P_{IV} given in §2. As for the DWW equation (1.1), it is possible to generate these solutions directly, i.e. without having to consider the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials, by extending the representations of these polynomials in terms of the determinants given in Definitions 2.2 and 2.4.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider the polynomials $\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa)$ defined by*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa) \lambda^n}{n!} = \exp \{ (x + 3\kappa t^2) \lambda - t \lambda^2 \}, \quad (4.3)$$

so $\varphi_n(x, t; \kappa) = t^{n/2} H_n((x + 3\kappa t^2)/\sqrt{4t})$, with $H_n(z)$ the Hermite polynomial, and then let

$$\Phi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa) = \mathcal{W}_x(\varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_{m+n-1}). \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_x(\varphi_m, \varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_{m+n-1})$ is the Wronskian with respect to x . Then the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) has exact solutions in the form

$$U_{m,n}^{[1]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Phi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{12t} + \frac{3}{2}\kappa x t + \frac{3}{4}\kappa^2 t^3 + (m + \frac{1}{2}) \ln t, \quad (4.5a)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[2]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Phi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} + \frac{x^2}{12t} + \frac{3}{2}\kappa x t + \frac{3}{4}\kappa^2 t^3 - (n + \frac{1}{2}) \ln t, \quad (4.5b)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[3]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa)}{\Phi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa)} \right\} - \frac{x^2}{6t} - \frac{3}{2}\kappa^2 t^3 - (m - n) \ln t, \quad (4.5c)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Consider the polynomials $\psi_n(x, t; \kappa)$ defined by*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_n(x, t; \kappa) \lambda^n}{n!} = \exp \{ (x + 3\kappa t^2) \lambda + 3t \lambda^2 \}, \quad (4.6)$$

so $\psi_n(x, t; \kappa) = (3t)^{n/2} e^{-n\pi i/2} H_n(i(x + 3\kappa t^2)/\sqrt{3t})$, with $H_n(z)$ the Hermite polynomial, and then let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa) &= \mathcal{W}_x(\psi_1, \psi_4, \dots, \psi_{3m+3n-5}, \psi_2, \psi_5, \dots, \psi_{3n-4}), \\ \Psi_{-m,-n}(x, t; \kappa) &= \mathcal{W}_x(\psi_1, \psi_4, \dots, \psi_{3n-2}, \psi_2, \psi_5, \dots, \psi_{3m+3n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for $m, n \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{W}_x(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m)$ is the Wronskian with respect to x . Then the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) has exact solutions in the form

$$U_{m,n}^{[1]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \{ \Psi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa) / \Psi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa) \} + \kappa x t, \quad (4.8a)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[2]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \{ \Psi_{m,n}(x, t; \kappa) / \Psi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa) \} + \kappa x t, \quad (4.8b)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[3]}(x, t; \kappa) = \ln \{ \Psi_{m,n+1}(x, t; \kappa) / \Psi_{m+1,n}(x, t; \kappa) \} + \kappa x t. \quad (4.8c)$$

4.2 Generalized solutions

Here we discuss possible generalizations of the exact solutions obtained above. As for the DWW equation, the idea is to replace the exponent of the exponentials (3.3) and (4.6) by the infinite series

$$(x + 3\kappa t^2) \lambda + b t \lambda^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \xi_j \lambda^j, \quad (4.9)$$

with $b = -1$ and $b = 3$, respectively, where ξ_j , for $j \geq 3$, are arbitrary parameters. However, when we substitute the generalizations of (4.5) and (4.8) into the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2), it can be shown that necessarily $\kappa = 0$ and $\xi_j = 0$ for $j \geq 3$ except for the generalization of (4.8) with $\kappa = 0$, the derivatives of which decay as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and are decaying rational solutions of (1.4), and are described in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Consider the polynomials $\vartheta_n(x, t; \xi)$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\vartheta_n(x, t; \xi) \lambda^n}{n!} = \exp \left(x\lambda + 3t\lambda^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \xi_j \lambda^j \right), \quad (4.10)$$

where $\xi = (\xi_3, \xi_4, \dots)$, with ξ_j arbitrary constants and then let

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{m,n}(x, t; \xi) &= \mathcal{W}_x(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_4, \dots, \vartheta_{3m+3n-5}, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_5, \dots, \vartheta_{3n-1}), \\ \Theta_{-m,-n}(x, t; \xi) &= \mathcal{W}_x(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_4, \dots, \vartheta_{3n-2}, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_5, \dots, \vartheta_{3m+3n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for $m, n \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{W}_x(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m)$ is the Wronskian with respect to x . Then modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) has exact solutions in the form

$$U_{m,n}^{[1]}(x, t; \xi) = \ln \{ \Theta_{m+1,n}(x, t; \xi) / \Theta_{m,n}(x, t; \xi) \}, \quad (4.12a)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[2]}(x, t; \xi) = \ln \{ \Theta_{m,n}(x, t; \xi) / \Theta_{m,n+1}(x, t; \xi) \}, \quad (4.12b)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{[3]}(x, t; \xi) = \ln \{ \Theta_{m,n+1}(x, t; \xi) / \Theta_{m+1,n}(x, t; \xi) \}. \quad (4.12c)$$

5 Discussion

In this paper we have studied exact solutions of the DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) through rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.11), which arises as a scaling reduction of these equations. Further we have derived some generalized solutions of the DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) which are analogues of the generalized rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.7), the Boussinesq equation (1.6) and the NLS equation (1.9). The DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) also possess the accelerating wave reductions

$$U(x, t) = W(z) + \mu xt - \frac{2}{3}\mu^2 t^3, \quad z = x - \mu t^2, \quad (5.1)$$

$$U(x, t) = W(z) - \frac{1}{3}\mu xt, \quad z = x - \mu t^2, \quad (5.2)$$

respectively, where μ is an arbitrary constant and $W(z)$ is solvable in terms of solutions of P_{II} (1.10). Since P_{II} has rational solutions expressed in terms of the Yablonskii–Vorob’ev polynomials [55, 57], then we can derive another class of exact solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) in terms of these special polynomials. We remark that the reduction (5.1) is obtained using classical Lie group method [12, 48] whereas the reduction (5.2) is a nonclassical symmetry reduction that is derived either using the nonclassical method [11] or the direct method [23] — see [17, 24] for details.

However, there are further exact solutions of the DWW equation (1.1) and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2), which are not special cases of the solutions discussed in §§3 and 4 above, or through the accelerating wave reductions (5.1) and (5.2). For example, the DWW equation (1.1) has the exact solutions

$$u_1(x, t) = \ln \left(\frac{x+t}{x+t+2} \right) - \frac{t}{2}, \quad (5.3a)$$

$$u_2(x, t) = \ln \left\{ \frac{(x+t)^3 - 3(x+t)^2 - 6t}{(x+t)^3 + 3(x+t)^2 - 6t} \right\} - \frac{t}{2}, \quad (5.3b)$$

and the modified Boussinesq equation (1.2) has the exact solutions

$$u_1(x, t) = \ln \left(\frac{x+t}{x+t-6} \right) - \frac{t}{6}, \quad (5.4a)$$

$$u_2(x, t) = \ln \left\{ \frac{(x+t)^3 + 9(x+t)^2 + 24x + 42t - 144}{(x+t)^3 - 9(x+t)^2 + 24x + 42t + 144} \right\} - \frac{t}{6}, \quad (5.4b)$$

which are not obtained by the procedure described above. These are analogous to the rational solutions of the classical Boussinesq equation (1.5) derived by Sachs [52] by applying the limiting procedure in [4] to N -soliton solutions of (1.5).

The classical orthogonal polynomials, such as Hermite, Laguerre, Legendre, and Tchebychev polynomials which are associated with rational solutions of the classical special functions, play an important role in a variety of applications (cf. [6]). Hence it seems likely that the special polynomials discussed here which are associated with rational solutions of nonlinear special functions, i.e. the soliton and Painlevé equations, also arise in a variety of applications such as in numerical analysis.

Acknowledgements

PAC thanks the organizers of the workshop on “Group Analysis of Differential Equations and Integrable Systems” held in Cyprus in October 2008 for the opportunity to give an invited lecture. BWMT acknowledges the support of an EPSRC studentship.

References

- [1] Ablowitz M.J. and Clarkson P.A., “Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering”, *L.M.S. Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. **149**, C.U.P., Cambridge, 1991.
- [2] Ablowitz M.J., Ramani A. and Segur H., *J. Math. Phys.*, **21** (1980) 715–721.
- [3] Ablowitz M.J., Ramani A. and Segur H., *J. Math. Phys.*, **21** (1980) 1006–1015.
- [4] Ablowitz M.J. and Satsuma J., *J. Math. Phys.*, **19** (1978) 2180–2186.
- [5] Ablowitz M.J. and Segur H., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **38** (1977) 1103–1106.
- [6] Abramowitz M. and Stegun I.A., “*Handbook of Mathematical Functions*”, 10th edition, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [7] Adler M. and Moser J., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **61** (1978) 1–30.
- [8] Airault H., McKean H.P. and Moser J., *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **30** (1977) 95–148.
- [9] Bassom A.P., Clarkson P.A. and Hicks A.C., *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **95** (1995) 1–71.
- [10] Barashenkov I.V. and Pelinovsky D.E., *Phys. Lett.*, **436** (1998) 117–124.
- [11] Bluman G.W. and Cole J.D., *J. Math. Mech.*, **18** (1969) 1025–1042.
- [12] Bluman G.W. and Kumei S., “Symmetries and Differential Equations”, *Appl. Math. Sci.* **81**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [13] Brézin E. and Hikami S., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **214** (2000) 111–135.
- [14] Broer L.T.F., *Appl. Sci. Res.*, **31** (1975) 377–395.
- [15] Chen Y. and Feigin M.V., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **39** (2006) 12381–12393.
- [16] Choodnovsky D.V. and Choodnovsky G.V., *Nuovo Cim.*, **40B** (1977) 339–353.
- [17] Clarkson P.A., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **22** (1989) 2355–2367.
- [18] Clarkson P.A., *J. Math. Phys.*, **44** (2003) 5350–5374.
- [19] Clarkson P.A., *Comp. Meth. Func. Theory*, **6** (2006) 329–401.
- [20] Clarkson P.A., Painlevé equations – non-linear special functions, in “Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: Computation and Application” (Editors F. Marcellàn and W. van Assche), *Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. **1883**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 331–411, 2006.
- [21] Clarkson P.A., *Europ. J. Appl. Math.*, **17** (2006) 293–322.
- [22] Clarkson P.A., *Analysis and Applications*, **6** (2008) 349–369.
- [23] Clarkson P.A. and Kruskal M.D., *J. Math. Phys.*, **30** (1989) 2201–2213.
- [24] Clarkson P.A. and Ludlow D.K., *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **186** (1994) 132–155.
- [25] Clarkson P.A. and Mansfield E.L., *Nonlinearity*, **16** (2003) R1–R26.
- [26] Fokas A.S., Its A.R., Kapaev A.A. and Novokshenov V.Yu., “Painlevé Transcendents: The Riemann-Hilbert approach”, *Math. Surv. Mono.*, vol. **128**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [27] Forrester P.J. and Witte N.S., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **219** (2001) 357–398.
- [28] Galkin V.M., Pelinovsky D.E. and Stepanyants Yu.A., *Physica*, **D80** (1995) 246–255.
- [29] Gardner C.S., Greene J.M., Kruskal M.D. and Miura R.M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **19** (1967) 1095–1097.
- [30] Gromak V.I., *Diff. Eqns.*, **23** (1987) 506–513.
- [31] Gromak V.I., Laine I. and Shimomura S., “Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane”, *Studies in Math.*, vol. **28**, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2002.
- [32] Hirota R. and Satsuma J., *Prog. Theo. Phys.*, **57** (1977) 797–807.
- [33] Hone A.N.W., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **30** (1997) 7473–7483.
- [34] Ince E.L., “Ordinary Differential Equations”, Dover, New York, 1956.
- [35] Iwasaki K., Kimura H., Shimomura S. and Yoshida M., “From Gauss to Painlevé: a Modern Theory of Special Functions”, vol. **16**, *Aspects of Mathematics E*, Viewag, Braunschweig, Germany, 1991.
- [36] Kajiwara K. and Ohta Y., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **31** (1998) 2431–2446.
- [37] Kanzieper E., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89** (2002) 250201.
- [38] Kaup D.J., *Prog. Theo. Phys.*, **54** (1975) 72–78.
- [39] Kaup D.J., *Prog. Theo. Phys.*, **54** (1975) 396–408.
- [40] Kruskal M.D., The Korteweg-de Vries equation and related evolution equations, in “Nonlinear Wave Motion” (Editor A.C. Newell), *Lect. Appl. Math.*, vol. **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 61–83, 1974.
- [41] Lukashovich N.A., *Diff. Eqns.*, **3** (1967) 395–399.
- [42] Murata Y., *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **28** (1985) 1–32.
- [43] Nakamura A. and Hirota R., *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **54** (1985) 491–499.
- [44] Noumi M. and Yamada Y., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **199** (1998) 281–295.
- [45] Noumi M. and Yamada Y., *Nagoya Math. J.*, **153** (1999) 53–86.
- [46] Okamoto K., *Math. Ann.*, **275** (1986) 221–255.
- [47] Olver N. and Barashenkov I.V., *Theo. Math. Phys.*, **144** (2005) 1223–1226.
- [48] Olver P.J., “Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations”, Second Edition, *Graduate Texts Math.* **107**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [49] Pelinovsky D.E., *J. Math. Phys.*, **39** (1998) 5377–5395.
- [50] Pelinovsky D.E., Springael J., Lambert F. and Loris I., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **30** (1997) 8705–8717.
- [51] Quispel G.R.W., Nijhoff F.W. and Capel H.W., *Phys. Lett.*, **91A** (1982) 143–145.
- [52] Sachs R., *Physica*, **30D** (1988) 1–27.
- [53] Umemura H., *Sugaku Expositions*, **11** (1998) 77–100.
- [54] Umemura H. and Watanabe H., *Nagoya Math. J.*, **148** (1997) 151–198.
- [55] Vorob’ev A.P., *Diff. Eqns.*, **1** (1965) 58–59.
- [56] Whitham G.B., “Linear and Nonlinear Waves”, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [57] Yablonskii A.I., *Vesti Akad. Navuk. BSSR Ser. Fiz. Tkh. Nauk.*, **3** (1959) 30–35.